

8/9/2022

In attendance: Jennifer Biess, Carla Respects Nothing, Clayton Gonzales, Erin Ralston, Jessica Dianni, Joey Willet, John Feeney-Coyle, Brendan Clark, Adam Kendall, Mike Malloy, Mateo Parsons

Staff: Julie Winkowski, Kyla Moe, Julie Winkowski, Sierra Trujillo, Nicola Donovan,

The meeting began at 5:37 pm as Mike called the meeting to order

1. Nicola Donaven read the Land & Cultural Acknowledgement
2. John Feeney-Coyle: motioned to approve the consent agenda, Brendan Clark seconded
 - a. The motion passed unanimously.
3. The board went through and did introductions for the new board members and existing members to share their names, roles, pronouns, and agencies.

NOFO Update

The board moved to the NOFO update from Kyla Moe

- a. Kyla Moe shared an overview of the HUD NOFO process and what it is
- b. She also shared information that there is an unsheltered NOFO but that today is only about the
- c. Kyla shared about the tiered funding process and Tier I and Tier II information, the renewal being Tier I and Tier II being for new funding as well as the process of ranking and reviewing applications
- d. She brought forth the scoring for Tier I for approval and shared that Tier 2 funding is not changing.
 - i. The change is moving from the threshold score to dynamic scoring for the projects to ensure that projects get points for their APR scores
 - ii. She also reviewed the pros and cons of both these methods to help the board understand the need for this potential change
 1. The dynamic scoring would reduce appeals as this means projects would still get points
 2. One challenge is this might result in closer scoring and create the potential for a score
 - iii. The raw score and rank comparison by Method was compared side by side to show the different ranking
- e. Kyla asked that the board approve the changing of the scoring metrics to dynamic scoring.
 - i. John asked about the publishing the metrics for scoring and tie breakers, Kyla replied yes they are published
 - ii. John asked if the metrics change would create a preference for any geographic region or see if there might be unintended consequences
 1. Kyla clarified that smaller agencies would actually potentially benefit as they have smaller sample sizes
 - iii. Erin said her question had already been answered

- iv. Nicola asked if other CoCs score with dynamic; Kyla was uncertain if other CoCs use it as it isn't published by HUD
- v. Brendan asked if the methodology is posted prior to the application; the NOFO committee is really that buy in; during the appeal process the appeals process may try to adjust the scoring the next year.
- vi. Mike asked for further questions/discussion.
 - 1. Carla motioned to approve the dynamic scoring and John seconded.
 - a. The motion passed unanimously with Jennifer, Erin and Clayton abstaining.
- vii. Kyla also shared the NOFO timeline with the board

PIT Information

- 1. Jamie reviewed the power point on the sheltered and unsheltered count for 2022 data and Phase I
 - a. John asked about the 2023 count; Kyla shared the process for 2023; some communities would also like to do a Magnet Event as they did pre-covid. She also clarified that it will take place on the last Monday of January.
 - b. Adam asked about the data dashboard
 - c. Mateo asked about the ability to punt on the PIT due to weather conditions

Ends Policies

- 1. Jamie reviewed the current state of the Ends Policies
 - a. Jennifer, Jamie, and Carla need to complete the committee restructure
 - b. Then, the Board needs to complete the Ends policies
 - i. At the next board meeting there will be a review of the
 - c. The Governance Committee will then draft the bylaws
 - i. The Governance Committee will reach out to the Governance committee as well as others
 - ii. Mike also offered to join in the discussion

Staff Retreat

- 1. Jamie shared the updates from the staff retreat
- 2. Nicola shared that it was helpful to understand how everything fits together for the first time
- 3. Sierra also shared that she also felt the connections with staff to the goals and strategy made sense to the staff

Finance Update

- 1. Brendan and Julie shared an update on the 990s and that they were included in the board packet
- 2. Brendan went through the financials, including the statement of activities, statement of cash flow, etc.

- a. The audit report was also included in the packet for 2020 as well as the 990s for 2019 and 2020.
- b. Similar to the audit, the organization is getting caught up on the 990s with the IRS.
 - i. Brendan noted that the finance committee has reviewed the 990s and feels comfortable approving them.
- c. Julie thanked Nicola and Mani for their help with the audit as it had been a heavy lift.
3. Brendan motioned to approve the 990s with Joey seconding both the 2019 and 2020 990s.
 - a. The board voted unanimously to approve the 990s with no abstentions.

The board went into exec at 6:48 pm.